| State | | Name of the Project | Name of Distt. | Year of Sanction | Amount Sanctioned | Amount Released |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17. Tripura | 31 | Kamlasagar, | West Tripura | 2004-05 | 20.00 | 16.00 |
| 18. Uttaranchal | 32 | Jageshwar, | Almora | 2005-06 | 20.00 | 16.00 |
| | 33 | Mana | Chamoli | 2004-05 | 20.00 | 16.00 |
| 19. Uttar Pradesh | 34 | Bhaguwala | Saharanpur | 2004-05 | 19.75 | 15.50 |
| 20. West Bengal | 35 | Ballabhpur Danga, | Birbhum | 2004-05 | 20.00 | 16.00 |
| | 36 | Mukut-monipur | Bankura | 2004-05 | 20.00 | 16.00 |
| TOTAL | | | | | 678.82 | 544.35 |

**Different pricing regime for foreign tourists**

2366. SHRI R.P. GOENKA: Will the Minister of TOURISM AND CULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a differential pricing regime is being practiced for the visiting foreign tourists to India, in as much as foreigners need to pay more for checking into a hotel, for air travel or subscribing to periodicals or even to visit monuments;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the justification for continuing with the prevailing practice, especially in view of the incentives being offered to lure in foreigners and the cash-rich expatriates; and

(c) the details of steps being taken, if any, to remove the discrimination the foreigners are subjected to?

THE MINISTER OF TOURISM AND CULTURE (SHRIMATI AMBIKA SONI): (a) and (b) Indian nationals and foreigners are being charged different tariffs by some hotels, airlines and for entry into some monuments. The issue of purchasing power parity between Indians and citizens of developed countries has to be kept in view as far as entry tariff to monuments are concerned.

(c) Tariff of hotels and airlines are subject to market trends. However, Government of India has been taking up the subject of dual tariff with the tourism industry in various forums.